

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 5077-5080

## Hydrolysis-free synthesis of 3-aminocoumarins

Amit A. Kudale, Jamie Kendall, C. Chad Warford, Natasha D. Wilkins and Graham J. Bodwell\*

Department of Chemistry, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada A1B 3X7

Received 30 March 2007; revised 11 May 2007; accepted 15 May 2007 Available online 18 May 2007

Abstract—A commonly encountered problem in the synthesis of 3-aminocoumarins is the formation of 3-hydroxycoumarins. A solution to this problem, which involves non-aqueous formation of the 3-aminocoumarin system, is described. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The coumarin system is present in a very broad range of natural and non-natural products of biological interest.<sup>1</sup> The 3-aminocoumarin motif, while considerably less prevalent, can nonetheless be found in a number of naturally occurring antibiotics, such as novobiocin 1,<sup>2</sup> clorobiocin  $2^3$  and coumermycin A<sub>1</sub>  $3^4$  (Fig. 1).

Derivatives of 3-aminocoumarins have been found to possess biological activity, including CNS depressant,<sup>5</sup>

antibacterial,<sup>6</sup> antiallergic<sup>7</sup> and insect-growth regulatory.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, 3-aminocoumarin and its derivatives are also known to exhibit interesting photochemical behavior and have found application as fluorescent markers.<sup>9</sup>

Although various methods have been reported for the synthesis of 3-aminocoumarins or related compounds,<sup>10</sup> reproducibility has sometimes been a problem, as



Figure 1. Natural antibiotics containing 3-aminocoumarin.

Keywords: Salicylaldehydes; 3-Acetamidocoumarins; 3-Aminocoumarins.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 709 737 8406; fax: +1 709 737 3702; e-mail: gbodwell@mun.ca

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.05.088

experienced by us and others.<sup>11</sup> The final step of these syntheses is typically the hydrolysis of a 3-acetamidocoumarin, which can result in the formation of a 3-hydroxycoumarin, both under acidic and basic conditions.<sup>12</sup> Herein, we report an efficient and convenient synthesis of 3-aminocoumarin and several derivatives bearing a substituent on the carbocyclic ring.

For the parent 3-aminocoumarin (7a), the synthesis commenced with the conversion of commercially available (or easily prepared from salicylaldehyde and Nacetylglycine<sup>13</sup>) 3-acetamidocoumarin (5a) to Boc-protected 3-aminocoumarin (6a) using the method of Burk and Allen<sup>14</sup> in 94% yield. This one-pot procedure, which was originally developed for amino acids, involves an acylation-deacylation sequence in which an N-acetyl compound is reacted with di-t-butyl dicarbonate in the presence of DMAP to give an imide, followed by reaction with hydrazine hydrate to remove the acetyl group. The resulting *t*-butyl carbamate (6a) could then be easily deprotected to give 3-aminocoumarin (7a) in high yield (99%) under anhydrous conditions through the action of 15% TFA/CHCl<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 1). In our hands, this method has proved to be very reproducible and we have prepared up to 15 g of 3-aminocoumarin in a single run.

For the synthesis of substituted 3-aminocoumarins, suitably substituted 3-acetamidocoumarins (5) were required. Being commercially unavailable, it was envisaged that these compounds could be prepared from the corresponding salicylaldehyde and N-acetylglycine.<sup>13</sup> Since the yield for parent 3-acetamidocoumarin (5a) is low (27%) using this method, efforts were made to optimize the reaction conditions for the conversion of salicylaldehyde (4a) into compound 5a. After varying reaction time, temperature, number of equivalents of Nacetylglycine and sodium acetate, it was found that the use of 4.0 equiv of sodium acetate and 1.0 equiv of N-acetylglycine at 110-120 °C for 3.5 h gave the best vield (46%) for the parent 3-acetamidocoumarin (5a). Employing the same reaction conditions for a series of substituted salicylaldehydes (commercially available or easily prepared by known formylation protocols<sup>15</sup>) afforded the corresponding 3-acetamidocoumarins (5bi) (Table 1). The yields for these reactions were variable. Poor yields were obtained when an electron-donating group was situated *para* to the aldehyde function of the starting salicylaldehyde (Table 1, entries 6 and 8). Otherwise, the yields ranged from 40% to 69%. When



Scheme 1. General synthesis of 3-aminocoumarins 7.

Table 1. Condensation of salicylaldehydes with N-acetylglycine

R	CHO N-Acetyl	glycine R	NHAc		
Ľ	OH NaOAc,	Ac <sub>2</sub> O	oto		
4 110-120 °C 5					
Entry	R	Product	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)		
1	Н	5a	46		
2	6-Br	5b	69		
3	6-Me	5c	42		
4	6-NO <sub>2</sub>	5d	69		
5	6-OMe	5e	40		
6	7-OMe	5f	20		
7	8-OMe	5g	69		
8	7-OAc	5h	21 <sup>b</sup>		
9	5,6-Benzo	5i	63		

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield, >95% purity by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

<sup>b</sup> 4-HOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CHO was the starting material in this reaction. The hydroxyl group undergoes acetylation under the reaction conditions.

the starting material was 4-hydroxysalicylaldehyde (Table 1, entry 8), O-acylation took place, giving 7-acetoxy-3-acetamidocoumarin (**5h**) as the product.

The next step involved conversion of the acetamide to a t-butyl carbamate. Burk and Allen's one-pot protocol was then employed to afford the Boc-protected 3-aminocoumarins (**6b–i**) (Table 2). Under these conditions, the acetoxy group in compound **5h** was converted back to a hydroxy group (Table 2, entry 8). For the most part, the yields were high. As above, the yields of the products with donor groups at the 7-position (Table 2, entries 6 and 8) were comparatively low.

Removal of the Boc-group using 15% TFA/CHCl<sub>3</sub> then afforded the 3-aminocoumarins (**7b–i**) in generally very good yields (58–96%) (Table 3). In no case was any 3-hydroxycoumarin detected. Clearly, the use of a *t*butoxycarbonyl protecting group is crucial because it can be removed under anhydrous conditions. The optimal reaction times for preparing the desired 3-amino-

Table 2. Conversion of 3-acetamidocoumarins (5) into Boc-protected3-aminocoumarins (6)

$\begin{array}{c} R \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ O \\ $				
Entry	R	Product	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)	
1	Н	6a	94	
2	6-Br	6b	87	
3	6-Me	6c <sup>b</sup>	90	
4	$6-NO_2$	6d	93	
5	6-OMe	6e <sup>b</sup>	93	
6	7-OMe	6f <sup>b</sup>	58	
7	8-OMe	6g <sup>b</sup>	92	
8	7-OH	6h <sup>b</sup>	50	
9	5,6-Benzo	<b>6i</b> <sup>b</sup>	95	

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield, >95% purity by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

<sup>b</sup> New compound. See Ref. 16.

Table 3. Removal of the Boc group



<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield, >95% purity by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

<sup>b</sup> 37% 6f recovered after 3 h.



Scheme 2. Formation of 3-trifluoroacetamidocoumarin 8.

coumarins were found to be generally between 3 and 4.5 h at room temperature. Longer reaction times resulted in a decrease in the yields of desired 3-aminocoumarins and the formation of the corresponding 3-trifluoroacetamidocoumarin (8) (Scheme 2). For the parent system, 3-trifluoroacetamidocoumarin  $(8a)^{17}$ was isolated in 14% yield after a reaction time of 31 h. Yields as high as 35–45% were obtained for substrates 6d and 6e when the reaction was run for 27 h. Evidently, the 3-aminocoumarin, once formed, is acylated by the solvent. Indeed, stirring pure 3-aminocoumarin (7a) in 15%. TFA/CHCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature resulted in the slow formation of compound 8a (tlc analysis). After stirring for 7 days, roughly equivalent amounts of compounds 7a and 8a were present in solution (tlc analysis) and, after a further day's reaction at reflux, trifluoroacetamidocoumarin (8a) was isolated in 68% yield.

In conclusion, we have developed a hydrolysis-free method for the synthesis of 3-aminocoumarins. It can be used to prepare multigram quantities of various 3aminocoumarins and does not result in the formation of any 3-hydroxycoumarins.

## Acknowledgments

The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada and AnorMED Inc are gratefully acknowledged for financial support of this work.

## Supplementary data

Experimental procedures, characterization data and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for individual compounds. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet. 2007.05.088.

## **References and notes**

- 1. (a) Mali, R. S.; Yadav, V. J. Synthesis 1977, 464-465; (b) Narasimhan, N. S.; Mali, R. S.; Barve, M. V. Synthesis 1979, 906-909; (c) Schönberg, A.; Latif, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 6208; (d) Baraldi, P. G.; Manfredini, S.; Simoni, D.; Tabrizi, M. A.; Baljarini, J.; Clercq, E. D. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1877-1882; (e) Kashman, Y.; Gustafson, K. R.; Fuller, R. W.; Cardellina, J. H.; McMahon, J. B.; Currens, M. J.; Buckheit, R. W.; Hughes, S. H.; Cragg, G. M.; Boyd, M. R. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 2735-2743; (f) Patil, A. D.; Freyer, A. J.; Eggleston, D. S.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Bean, M. F.; Taylor, P. B.; Caranfa, M. J.; Breen, A. L.; Bartus, H. R.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P.; Westley, J. W. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 4131-4138; (g) Bhalla, M.; Naithani, P. K.; Kumar, A.; Bhalla, T. N.; Shanker, K. Indian J. Chem. B 1992, 31, 183–186; (h) Nagesam, M.; Raju, M. S.; Raju, K. M. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1987, 64, 418-419; (i) Nagesam, M.; Raju, K. M.; Raju, M. S. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1988, 65, 380-382; (j) Perrella, F. W.; Chen, S.; Behrens, D. L.; Kaltenbach, R. F.; Seitz, S. P. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2232-2237; (k) Thakur, R. S.; Bagadia, S. C.; Sharma, M. L. Experientia 1978, 34, 158-159; (1) Hishmat, O. H.; Miky, J. A. A.; Farrag, A. A.; Fadl-Allah, E. M. Arch. Pharmacol. Res. 1989, 12, 181-185.
- (a) Raad, I.; Darouiche, R.; Hachem, R.; Sacilowski, M.; Bodey, G. P. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1995**, *39*, 2397; (b) Raad, I. I.; Hachem, R. Y.; Abi-said, D.; Rolston, K. V. I.; Whimbey, E.; Buzaid, A. C.; Legha, S. *Cancer* **1998**, *82*, 403; (c) Vaterlaus, B. P.; Kiss, J.; Spiegelberg, H. F. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1964**, *47*, 381–390.
- (a) Ninet, L.; Benazet, F.; Charpentie, Y.; Dubost, M.; Florent, J.; Mancy, D.; Preud'homme, J.; Threlfall, T. L.; Vuillemin, B.; Wright, D. E.; Abraham, A.; Cartier, M.; Chezelles, M.; Godard, D.; Theilleux, J. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (C) 1972, 275, 455–458; (b) Coulson, C. J.; Smith, V. J. J. Pharm. Sci. 1980, 69, 799–801; (c) Cejka, K.; Holubova, I.; Hubácek, J. J. Mol. Gen. Genetics 1982, 186, 153–155; (d) Galm, U.; Heller, S.; Shapiro, S.; Page, M.; Li, S.-M.; Heide, L. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 1307–1312.
- (a) Kawaguchi, H.; Tsukiura, H.; Okanishi, M.; Miyaki, T.; Ohmori, T.; Fujisawa, K.; Koshiyama, H. J. Antibiot. 1965, 18, 1–10; (b) Kawaguchi, H.; Naito, T.; Tsukiura, H. J. Antibiot. 1965, 18, 11–25.
- 5. Kulkarni, Y. D.; Srivastava, D.; Bishnoi, A.; Dua, P. R. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1996, 73, 173–175.
- (a) Rodighiero, G.; Antonello, C. Bull. Chim. Farm. 1958, 97, 592–601; (b) Krishna Mohan Rao, K. S. R.; Subba Rao, N. V. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 1968, 67, 42–49; (c) Krishna Mohan Rao, K. S. R.; Subba Rao, N. V. Indian J. Chem. 1965, 3, 522–524; (d) El-Naggar, A. M.; Ahmed, F. S. M.; Abd El-Salam, A. M.; Radi, M. A.; Latif, M. S. A. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1981, 18, 1203–1207; (e) Okumura, K.; Ashino, K.; Okuda, T. J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn. 1961, 81, 1482–1488.
- 7. Connor, D. T. U.S. Patent, 126,4,287, 1981.

- Shyamala, B. S.; Ananthalakshmi, P. V.; Raju, V. J. T.; Nagaraja Rao, P.; Reddy, P. U. M. *Biometals* 1992, 5, 23– 27.
- (a) Kokotos, G.; Tzougraki, C. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 1986, 28, 186–191; (b) Charitos, C.; Tzougraki, C.; Kokotos, G. J. Peptide Res. 2000, 56, 373–381; (c) Chaurasia, C.; Kauffman, J. M. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 727; (d) Dorlars, A.; Schellhammer, C.; Schroeder, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 665–679; (e) Subba Rao, R. V.; Krishnamurthy, M.; Dogra, S. J. Photochem. 1986, 34, 55–61; (f) Dzubenko, M. I.; Maslov, V. V.; Naumenko, I. G.; Nikitchenko, V. M.; Pelipenko, V. P. Opt. Spektrosk. 1978, 45, 814–816; (g) Kokotos, G.; Tzougraki, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1991, 495– 499; (h) Christie, R. M.; Lui, C.-H. Dyes Pigments 1999, 42, 85–93.
- 10. (a) Linch, F. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1912, 101, 1755-1759; (b) Linch, F. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1912, 101, 1759-1765; (c) Dakin, H. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1929, 82, 439; (d) Chakravarti, D.; Das, R. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1971, 48, 371-374; (e) Chakravarti, D.; Das, R. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1971, 48, 375-380; (f) Toplak, R.; Svete, J.; Stanovnik, B.; Grdadolnik, S. G. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1999, 36, 225-234; (g) Fajgelj, S.; Stanovnik, B.; Tišler, M. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 1873-1874; (h) Tripathy, P. K.; Mukerjee, A. K. Indian J. Chem. B 1987, 26, 61-62; (i) Bonsignore, L.; Loy, G. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1998, 35, 117-119; (j) Valizadeh, H.; Shockravi, A. Heterocycl. Commun. 2004, 10, 475-478; (k) Ornik, B.; Stanovnik, B.; Tišler, M. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1992, 29, 1241-1244; (1) Khoo, L. E. Synth. Commun. 1999, 29, 2533-2538; (m) Kokotos, G.; Tzougraki, C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1986, 23, 87-92; (n) Beccalli, E. M.; Contini, A.; Trimarco, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3976-3984; (o) Domschke, G. Z. Chem. 1962, 2, 114; (p) Kočevar, M.; Polanc, S.; Tišler, M.; Verček, B. Heterocycles 1990, 30, 227-230; (q) Shin, C.; Nakajima, Y.; Haga, T.; Sato, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 3917-3923; (r) Ito, K.; Higuchi, Y.; Tame, C.; Hariya, J. Heterocycles 1993. 35. 937-947.
- (a) Kendall, J. *M.Sc. Dissertation*, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 2002; (b) Trivedi, K.; Sethna, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1960**, *25*, 1817–1819; (c) Chakravarti, D.; Dutta, S. P.; Mitra, A. K. *Curr. Sci.* **1965**, 177.
- 12. Khan, M. A.; Lucia, M. Bull. Soc. Quim. Peru 1979, 45, 42-45.
- Shaw, K. N. F.; McMillan, A.; Armstrong, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1956, 21, 601–604.
- 14. Burk, M. J.; Allen, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7054-7057.
- (a) Duff, C. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1941, 547; (b) Hofsløkken, N. U.; Skattebøl, L. Acta Chem. Scand. 1999, 53, 258–262.
- 16. Compound **6c**: Mp = 133–134 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.20 (m, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) = 159.0, 152.7, 147.9, 134.9, 130.2, 127.4, 124.7, 120.6, 120.0, 116.2, 81.8, 28.4, 21.1 ppm; IR  $\nu$  = 3407 (m),

1717 (m), 1707 (m), 1632 (s), 1616 (s), 1581 (s), 1510 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS m/z (%) = 175 (100, M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 275.1158, found 275.1149. Compound 6e:  $Mp = 164 - 165 \ ^{\circ}C$ (EtOAc/hexanes);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.23 (d, J =9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) = 158.9, 156.8, 152.7, 144.2, 125.2, 120.8, 120.4, 117.5, 116.8, 109.8, 82.0, 56.0, 28.4 ppm; IR v = 3416 (m), 1729 (s), 1693 (b), 1583 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS m/z $(\%) = M^+$  not obs., 191 (100); HRMS calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}NO_5$  291.1107, found 291.1101. Compound **6f**: (EtOAc/hexanes);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  $Mp = 117 - 118 \ ^{\circ}C$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz = 161.0, 159.1, 152.8, 151.2, 128.3, 122.4,121.4, 113.5, 113.2, 100.9, 81.7, 55.9, 28.4 ppm; IR v = 3318 (m), 1726 (m), 1699 (s), 1631 (m), 1613 (s), 1575 (m), 1524 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS m/z (%) = M<sup>+</sup> not obs., 191 (100); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 291.1107, found 291.1099. Compound **6g**: Mp = 97-99 °C (EtOAc/hexanes);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  $(CDCl_3, 125 \text{ MHz}) = 158.3, 152.7, 147.2, 139.2, 125.1,$ 125.1, 121.0, 120.6, 119.1, 111.3, 81.9, 56.4, 28.4 ppm; IR v = 3318 (m), 1729 (s), 1703 (s), 1580 (m), 1532 (m) cm<sup>-</sup> MS m/z (%) = M<sup>+</sup> not obs., 191 (100); HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 291.1107, found 291.1110. Compound 6h:  $(CH_2Cl_2/hexanes);$  $Mp = 177 - 178 \ ^{\circ}C$  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 1.53 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) = 159.6, 157.8, 152.9, 151.1, 128.7, 122.4, 122.0, 114.3, 113.4, 103.2, 82.0, 28.4 ppm; IR v = 3317(m), 17039 (s), 1681 (s), 1633 (s), 1608 (s), 1535 (s), 1512 (s)  $cm^{-1}$ ; MS m/z (%) = M<sup>+</sup> not obs., 177 (100); HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 277.0950, found 277.0951. Compound 6i:  $Mp = 192 - 194 \,^{\circ}C$ (EtOAc/hexanes):  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>. 500 MHz) = 9.10 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90– 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.65-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.58-7.55 (m, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 9H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  $(CDCl_3, 125 \text{ MHz}) = 158.8, 152.8, 148.3, 130.9, 130.3,$ 129.2, 129.0, 127.8, 126.3, 124.8, 122.6, 116.8, 116.6, 114.6, 82.0, 28.5; IR v = 3316 (m), 1701 (s), 1575 (s), 1522 (s)  $cm^{-1}$ ; MS m/z (%) = M<sup>+</sup> not obs., 211 (100); HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{17}NO_4 = 311.1158$ , found = 311.1149.

17. Compound **8a**: Mp = 132–133 °C (EtOAc/hexanes);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) = 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 7.59–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 2H) ppm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) = 158.1, 155.7 (q,  $J_{\rm C-F}$  = 39.1 Hz), 150.7, 131.3, 128.5, 126.5, 125.8, 122.2, 119.0, 116.9, 115.3 (q,  $J_{\rm C-F}$  = 288.4 Hz) ppm; IR  $\nu$  = 3298 (m), 1735 (m), 1694 (s), 1626 (b), 1607 (s), 1555 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 257.0300, found 257.0298.